Case 1:07-cv-04323-AKH	Document 1	Filed 03/20/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	kK		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
JAMES BRAUNREUTHER AND KATHRYN BRAUNREUTHER		DOCKET NO.	
Pla	intiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHE COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLA	E
- against -		WASTER COMPLE	A11V1
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Def	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.			
NOTICE OF ADOPTION			
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked with an ' $\ensuremath{\square}$ '' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.			

Plaintiffs, JAMES BRAUNREUTHER AND KATHRYN BRAUNREUTHER, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

## I. PARTIES

### A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1. ✓ Plaintiff, JAMES BRAUNREUTHER (hereinafter the "Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual and a citizen of New York residing at 16 Elk Lane, S. Setauket, NY 11720  (OR)					
2.	Alternatively, $\square$	` /	of Decedent		
, and brings this claim in his (her) capacity as of the Estate of					
Please read this document carefully.					
	It is very important that you	fill out each and every sec	tion of this document.		

Cas	se 1:07-cv-04323-AKH Document	:1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 2 of 11		
3. New York resi Injured Plainti	iding at 16 Elk Lane, S. Setauket, NY iff:  SPOUSE at all relevant times I JAMES BRAUNREUTHER, due to the injuries sustained by BRAUNREUTHER.	ereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of 11720-, and has the following relationship to the herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff and brings this derivative action for her (his) loss ther husband (his wife), Plaintiff JAMES		
		Other:		
4. Edison of Nev	v York, Inc. as a Supervisor at:	001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Consolidated		
P	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations		
Location(s) (i.  From on or ab Approximately	Trade Center Site  e., building, quadrant, etc.)  out _9/12/2001_ until _9/26/2001_;  y _15_ hours per day; for	=====================================		
☐ The New Y From on or ab Approximately Approximately	y _14_ days total.  York City Medical Examiner's Office  out until,  y hours per day; for  y days total.	Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:		
☐ The Fresh From on or ab Approximately	Kills Landfill  out;  y hours per day; for  y days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:		
	is information on a separate sheet of pa "Other" locations, please annex a separa	per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.		
5.	Injured Plaintiff			
✓ Was exposed to and breathed noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated above;				
Was exposed to and inhaled or ingested toxic substances and particulates on all dates at the site(s) indicated above;				
	Was exposed to and absorbed or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at the site(s) indicated above;			
	✓ Other: Not yet determined			

6.

<ul> <li>U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.</li> <li>□ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pt 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilize U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.</li> <li>□ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequent by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air T Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of inapplicable.</li> <li>□ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pt 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Safety Safety</li></ul>	Injured	
<ul> <li>405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabiliz U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.</li> <li>□ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequer by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air T Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of inapplicable.</li> <li>□ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pr 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Safety System Stabilization Safety System Stabilization Safety System Stabilization Safety System Stabilization System System</li></ul>		B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49
<ul> <li>by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air T Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of inapplicable.</li> <li>□ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. P 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization</li> </ul>		B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49
405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabiliz		
further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.		im to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 3)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 2101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any action for the injuries identified in said claim.

#### B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 11/2/06 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law \$50-h	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
	HAULING, LLC, INC.
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☑ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 11/2/06	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.  ✓ CORD CONTRACTING CO. INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC ☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.  ☐ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DAROTA DEMO-TECH ☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☑ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND FORT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DATE ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ ROBERT L GEROSA, INC

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ OTHER:

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

### 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	•
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

# Case 1:07-cv-04323-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

☐ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ☐; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☑ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.  III CAUSES OF ACTION			
Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above named defendants based upon the following theories of liability, and asserts each element necessary to establish such a claim under the applicable substantive law:			
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
<b>√</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## Case 1:07-cv-04323-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to  WTC work:	V	Cardiovascular Injury: Chest Pain Date of onset: 9/10/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Respiratory Injury: RADS; Respiratory Problems; and Shortness of Breath Date of onset: 11/28/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	V	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 12/27/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Other Injury: Fatigue Date of onset: 11/28/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

*NOTE:* The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

damages:  $\checkmark$ Pain and suffering  $\checkmark$ Loss of the enjoyment of life  $\checkmark$ Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity  $\checkmark$ Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits  $\checkmark$ Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation  $\checkmark$ Other: ✓ Mental anguish **☑** Disability ✓ Medical monitoring ✓ Other: Not yet determined.\_

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York March 5, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Braunreuther\_

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), James Braunreuther and Kathryn

By: \_\_\_\_

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

DATED:

file.

New York, New York March 5, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Case 1:07-cv-04323-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 11 of 11

Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK JAMES BRAUNREUTHER (AND WIFE, KATHRYN BRAUNREUTHER), Plaintiff(s) - against -A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., Defendant(s). SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700 To Attorney(s) for Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:  $\square$  NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on □ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at 20 at  $\mathbf{M}.$ 

Dated,

Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP